

Comments on Verhoef and Silva

Daniel's seminal 1995 work on airport congestion used a numerically oriented **bottleneck model**.

But much subsequent work relied on **static models** with no time dimension.

Recently, researchers have **"reopened" the bottleneck model** to get further insight into congestion in the **presence of large agents** like airlines.

Evidently, an **existence-of-equilibrium** issue arises in these models.

Comments on Verhoef and Silva

In response, authors use simpler **Henderson-Chu model**, a dynamic framework in which queueing is absent.

Has early- and late-arrival **penalties** like bottleneck model.

But congestion just depends on volume of **traffic arriving at a particular time**, with no queue forming.

Paper finds **no existence problem** in this setup.

It's skillfully used to compare **equilibrium and optimal** time patterns of traffic.

Comments on Verhoef and Silva

Results show **peaking of traffic** around preferred time, with natural differences across cases.

Peaking is steepest when agents do not internalize congestion.

Moderately steep when they do, **least steep** under social optimum.

Comments on Verhoef and Silva

In airport context, model could apply around **preferred times for business passengers**: early morning and early evening.

But, because hub airlines must create multiple traffic “banks” to ease connections, **peaking occurs periodically through day**.

Spacing of midday banks **chosen for operational reasons**, not due to passenger time preference.

Comments on Verhoef and Silva

Leads to question: what if model's "preferred time" (bank center) is **actually chosen by the airline**.

Passengers want **to arrive at bank center for best connection**.

But two hub airlines sharing an airport (e.g., Chicago) would like to **separate their banks to the extent possible to avoid congestion**.

Adapting the current model, **what would analysis** of this problem **look like?**